

INTEGER DIVISION METHOD SECURE AGAINST COVERT CHANNEL
ATTACKS

The invention concerns an integer division method
5 secure against attacks of the covert channel type. The
invention is in particular advantageous for performing
division operation in a more general cryptographic method,
for example a secret or public key cryptographic method.
Such a cryptographic method can for example be implemented
10 in electronic devices such as chip cards.

The security of cryptographic methods lies in their
ability to keep concealed the confidential data or data
derived from confidential data that they manipulate.

A malevolent user may possibly undertake attacks
15 aimed as discovering in particular confidential data
contained and manipulated in processing operations
performed by the calculation device executing a
cryptographic method.

Amongst the best known attacks, simple or
20 differential covert channel attacks can be cited. Covert
channel attack means an attack based on a physical quality

measurable from outside the device and whose direct analysis (simple attack) or analysis according to a statistical method (differential attack) makes it possible to discover data contained and manipulated in processing operations performed in the device. These attacks have in particular been disclosed by Paul Kocher (Advances in Cryptology - CRYPTO'99, vol. 1666 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp.388-397. Springer-Verlag, 1999).

Amongst the physical quantities which can be exploited for these purposes, the execution time, the current consumption, the electromagnetic field radiated by the part of component used for executing the calculation, etc, can be cited. These attacks are based on the fact that, during the execution of a method, the manipulation of a bit, that is to say its processing by a particular instruction, leaves a particular imprint on the physical quantity in question, according to the value of this bit and/or according to the instruction.

The cryptographic methods using as a basic operation a modular exponentiation operation of type $Y = X^D$, X , Y and D being integer numbers, have been very widely studied during the past few years. By way of example, the RSA method, the key exchange according to Diffie-Hellman or the DSA signature method can be cited. Significant progress has been made in protecting these methods against covert channel attacks.

On the other hand, no study has been made on making secure cryptographic methods using as an elementary operation an integer division of the type $q = a \text{ div } b$ and $r = a \text{ mod } b$, a and b being two operands, q and r being respectively the quotient and the remainder of the integer division of a by b . a and/or b are secret data, for example elements of a key of the method. For example, the

method of Barrett (P. Barret, "Implementing the RSA public key encryption algorithm on a standard digital signal processing", vol 263 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 311-323, Springer Verlag, 1987), the method of
 5 Quisquater (US patent 5,166,978, November 92) or the RSA method implemented according to the Chinese remainder theorem (J J Quisquater and C Couvreur, "Fast decipherment algorithm for RSA public key cryptosystem", Electronics Letter, vol 18, 99. 905-907, October 1982) are
 10 cryptographic methods using an integer division as an elementary operation.

A known method for implementing an integer division is the so called "paper/pencil" method. This method in practice repeats the method used when such an operation is
 15 performed by hand. This method is set out below.

Given two data items $a = (a_{m-1}, \dots, a_0)$ of m bits and $b = (b_{n-1}, \dots, b_0)$ of n bits, n less than or equal to m and $b_{n-1} \neq 0$, the so called "paper/pencil" division method calculates the quotient $q = a \text{ div } b$ and the remainder $r = a \text{ div } b$. For this purpose, the method successively performs
 20 several division of an integer A of $n+1$ bits by the integer b of n bits. It is necessary in practice to have $0 \leq A/b < 2$, which is the case whenever $b_{n-1} \neq 0$.

The remainder r is a number of no more than n bits since $r < b$. The quotient q for its part is a number of no more than $m-n+1$ bits since $q = a \text{ div } b \mid a \text{ div } (b_{n-1} \cdot 2^{n-1}) = a \text{ div } 2^{n-1} = (a_{m-1}, \dots, a_{n-1})$ since $b \leq b_{n-1} \cdot 2^{n-1}$ and $(a_{m-1}, \dots, a_{n-1})$ is a number of $m-n+1$ bits. At the end of the division method, the quotient q is stored in the $m-n+1$ least
 25 significant bits of the register containing initially the number a . The most significant bit of the remainder r is stored in a 1-bit register used as a carry during the
 30

calculation and the $n-1$ least significant bits of the remainder r are stored in the $n-1$ most significant bits of the register initially containing the number a .

As this work is carried out in base 2, the quotient
 5 bit of the integer division $A \text{ div } b$ has only two possible values: 0 or 1. Thus a simple way of performing the operation $A \text{ div } b$ consists of subtracting b from A and then testing the result: if the result of $A - b$ is positive, then $A \text{ div } b = 1$, if the result of $A - b$ is strictly
 10 negative, then $A \text{ div } b = 0$.

The complete division method can then be written in the following manner:

```

    Input:  a = (0, am-1, ..., a0)
            b = (bn-1, ..., b0)
15  Output: q = a div b and r = a mod b
    A = (0, am-1, ..., am-n+1)
    For j = 1 to (m-n+1), do:
        a <- SHLm-1(a, 1) ; σ <- carry
        A <- SUBn(A, b) ; σ <- σ OR carry
20    if (¬σ = TRUE) then A <- ADDn(A, b)
        if not lsb(a) = 1
    End for
  
```

Method 1

In this method, and throughout the following, the
 25 following notations are used.

The symbol "<-" and the notation $y \leftarrow x$ are used to indicate the loading of the content of a register containing a data item x in a register whose content is called y .

30 A is an n -bit word corresponding to the content of the n most significant bits of the register initially containing the data item a . A is of course modified at

each iteration.

σ indicates whether or not the subtraction has been performed wrongly (i.e. whether the quotient bit must be equal to 0 or to 1).

5 $\neg\sigma$ is the complement to 1 (also referred to as negation) of the variable σ . TRUE is a constant, equal to 1 in one example.

lsb(a) is the lowest weight bit of the number a, also referred to as the least significant bit of a.

10 SHL_{m+1}(a, 1) is an operation of shifting to the left by 1 bit in the register of m+1 bits containing the data item a, the bit leaving the register being stored in the variable carry and a bit equal to 0 being entered as the least significant bit of the register initially containing
15 the data a.

ADD_n(A, b) is an operation of addition of the n bits of the number b to the n bits of the word A. It will be noted that the operation SHL_n(a, 1) is equivalent to the operation ADD_n(a, a). Naturally the addition ADD_n(a, b) is
20 performed by adding, in an appropriate register content addition circuit, the content of the two registers containing respectively A and b.

SUB_n(A, b) is an operation of subtraction of the number b from the word A. Naturally the subtraction
25 SUB_n(A, b) is performed by subtracting, in an appropriate circuit, the content of a register containing the data item b from the content of the register containing the word A.

Finally, wrongly speaking but in particular for reasons of clarity, the same name will be used to speak of
30 a register and its content. Thus the register A is in fact the register containing the data item A.

In summary, the method 1 performs the following

steps:

- if $a \leftarrow \text{SHL}_{m+1}(a, 1)$ generates a carry ($\sigma = \text{carry} = 1$), this means that $a_m = 1$ (before shifting) and therefore that b must be subtracted from A .

5 - if $a_{m+1} = 0$ (before shifting) and if $A \leftarrow \text{SUB}_n(A, b)$ generates a carry ($\text{carry} = 1$), this means that $A - b \geq 0$ before subtraction and therefore b must be subtracted from A .

10 - if $a \leftarrow \text{SHL}_{m+1}(a, 1)$ does not generate a carry and if $A \leftarrow \text{SUB}_n(A, b)$ also does not generate a carry (that is to say if, after updating σ , σ is false (or $\neg\sigma$ is TRUE, then this means that $A - b < 0$ before subtraction and therefore that b would not have to be subtracted from A . In this case, the method performs an addition operation A
15 $\leftarrow \text{ADD}_n(A, b)$ in order to restore the value of A .

The method 1 is sensitive to covert channel attacks. This is because it is noted with method 1 that, at each iteration, according to the value of σ , that is to say according to the value of the quotient bit which will be
20 obtained during the current iteration, an addition $\text{ADD}_n(A, b)$ is performed or not. The number of operations performed during an iteration therefore varies according to the result bit obtained during the said iteration. However, the current consumption during each iteration and/or the
25 duration of each iteration varies according to the number of operations performed. By measuring and studying for example the trace left by the component when the method is executed, it is then possible to determine bit by bit the value of the result bits.

30 Another method also known for performing integer divisions is a variant of the "paper/pencil" method, referred to as a "non-restoring" (Non-Restoring Binary

Division Algorithm, in particular described in "J.J.F. Cavanagh, Digital Computer Arithmetic, McGraw-Hill Company, 1984".

```

Input:  a = (0, am-1, ..., a0)
5      b = (bn-1, ..., b0)
Output: q = a div b and r = a mod b
σ' <- 1 ; A = (0, am-1, ..., am-n+1)
for j = 1 to (m-n+1), do:
    a <- SHLm+1(a, 1) ; σ <- carry
10    if (σ' = TRUE) then A <- SUBn(A, b)
        σ <- σ OR carry
        if not A <- ADDn(A, b)
            σ <- σ AND carry
    if (σ = TRUE) then lsb(a) = 1
15    σ' <- σ
End For
if (¬σ = TRUE) then A <- ADDn(A, b)

```

Method 2

Compared with method 1, the method uses a new
20 variable σ' to preserve of the value of σ obtained at the
previous iteration. Here, according to the value σ , an
addition or subtraction is performed. In other words, if
during an iteration b is wrongly subtracted from A , then
the value of A is restored during the following iteration
25 rather than at the end of the current iteration as in the
case of method 1.

Whatever the value of σ during an iteration, the
method performs the same number of operations during each
iteration. This precaution is however not sufficient to
30 protect the method against covert channel attacks. This is
because, at each iteration, a shift operation $a \leftarrow \text{SHL}_{m+1}(a,$

1) is performed and then, depending on the value of σ , an addition $A \leftarrow \text{ADD}_n(A, b)$ or a subtraction $A \leftarrow \text{SUB}_n(A, b)$.

However, the performance of a subtraction takes longer and consumes more energy than the performance of an additional operation. This is because, usually, the calculations means used for implementing the method do not include a subtraction circuit. The subtraction operation is performed by first of all calculating the complement to 2^n of b , denoted \bar{b} , then adding \bar{b} to A , any carry of the addition being stored in the variable carry. This method of performing a subtraction is justified by the fact that, by definition of \bar{b} , $b + \bar{b} = 2^n$. This therefore gives $A - b = A + \bar{b} - 2^n = A + \bar{b} \bmod (2^n)$, $\bmod (2^n)$ being a reduction modulo 2^n . Two operations, an operation of complement to 2^n and an addition, are therefore in practice necessary for performing a subtraction.

As the known integer division methods are not protected against covert channel attacks, any cryptographic method using the known integer division methods are therefore no longer protected against such covert channel attacks.

In addition, statistically, 50% of the bits of the quotient obtained by a division method are equal to 0, which means that statistically the method compensates for one subtraction out of two made wrongly. The execution time of method 1 is therefore statistically at one point five times longer than the execution time of method 2.

In the light of the problems of current cryptographic methods, an essential object of the invention is a novel method of performing an integer division, protected against covert channel attacks.

A supplementary object of the invention is a method

of performing an integer division whose execution time is very short.

A supplementary object of the invention is also a method of performing an integer division during which only
 5 the register containing the initial data item a is modified, replaced by the quotient and the result, any other register of the memory (and in particular the register initially containing the data item b) remaining unchanged at the end of the execution of the method.

10 With this principal objective and these subsidiary objectives in view, the invention proposes a cryptographic method during which an integer division of the type $q = a \div b$ and $r = a \bmod b$ is performed, with a a number of m bits, b a number of n bits with n less and or equal to m
 15 and b_{n-1} non-zero, b_{n-1} being the most significant bit of b , a method during which, at each iteration of a loop subscripted by i varying between 1 and $m-n+1$, a partial division is performed of a word a of n bits of the number a by the number b in order to obtain a bit of the quotient q .

20 According to the invention, the same operations are performed at each iteration, whatever the value of the quotient bit obtained.

Thus, with the method according to the invention, it is no longer possible to determine the bits of the result
 25 from the trace left during the execution of the method of invention.

According to a first embodiment of the method of invention, at each iteration, an operation of addition of the number b from the word A and a subtraction of the
 30 number b from the word A are performed.

According to this first embodiment, the method preferably comprises all the following steps:

Input: $a = (0, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_0)$

10
 $b = (b_{n-1}, \dots, b_0)$

Output: $q = a \text{ div } b$ and $r = a \text{ mod } b$

$\sigma' \leftarrow 1$; $A = (0, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_{m-n+1})$

For $j = 1$ to $(m-n+1)$, do:

5 $a \leftarrow \text{SHL}_{m1}(a, 1)$; $\sigma \leftarrow \text{carry}$
 $A \leftarrow (\sigma') \text{SUB}_{m+1}(a, 1) + (\neg\sigma') \text{ADD}_n(A, b)$
 $\sigma \leftarrow (\sigma \text{ AND } \sigma') / (\sigma \text{ AND } \text{carry}) / (\sigma' \text{ AND } \text{carry})$
 $\text{lsb}(a) \leftarrow \sigma$
 $\sigma' \leftarrow \sigma$

10 End For

 if $(\neg\sigma) = \text{TRUE}$ then $A \leftarrow \text{ADD}_n(A, b)$

 In this embodiment, the above variable carry designates the carry resulting from the operation $\text{SUB}_n(A, b)$ when σ' is equal to 1 and the carry resulting from the
 15 operation $\text{ADD}_n(A, b)$ when σ' is equal to 0.

 According to a second embodiment of the method according to the invention, at each iteration, an operation is performed of addition either of the number b or of a number \bar{b} complementary to the number b with the word A .

20 Preferably, during each iteration, an updating is also performed of a first variable (σ') according to the bit of the quotient produced, the said first variable (σ') indicating whether, during the following iteration, the number b or the number \bar{b} must be added to the word A .

25 Preferably again, according to this embodiment, the method comprises all the following steps:

 Input: $a = (0, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_0)$

$b = (b_{n-1}, \dots, b_0)$

 Output: $q = a \text{ div } b$ and $r = a \text{ mod } b$

30 $A = (0, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_{m-n+1})$; $\sigma' \leftarrow 1$; $\bar{b} \leftarrow \text{CPL}_{2n}(b)$

 For $j = 1$ to $(m-n+1)$, do:

$a \leftarrow \text{SHL}_{m+1}(a, 1) ; \sigma \leftarrow \text{carry}$

$d_{\text{addr}} \leftarrow b_{\text{addr}} + \sigma' (\bar{b}_{\text{addr}} - b_{\text{addr}})$

$A \leftarrow \text{ADD}_n(A, d)$

$\sigma' \leftarrow (\sigma' \text{ AND } \sigma') / (\sigma' \text{ AND } \text{carry}) / (\sigma' \text{ AND } \text{carry})$

5 $\text{lsb}(a) \leftarrow \sigma'$

$\sigma' \leftarrow \sigma'$

End For

if $(\neg\sigma) = \text{TRUE}$ then $A \leftarrow \text{ADD}_n(A, b)$

According to a third embodiment of the method
 10 according to the invention, at each iteration, an operation
 of complement to 2^n of an updated data item (b or \bar{b}) or of
 a notional data item (c or \bar{c}) is performed, and then an
 operation of addition of the updated data item with the
 word A .

15 Preferably, during each iteration, an updating of a
 second variable (δ) according to the bit of the quotient
 produced is also carried out at each iteration, the said
 second variable (δ) indicating whether during the following
 iteration the operation of complement to $2n$ must be
 20 performed on the updated data item or on the notional data
 item.

Preferably again, during each iteration, the updating
 of a third variable (β) is also performed indicating
 whether the updated data item is equal to the number b or
 25 to the complementary number \bar{b} .

Preferably again, according to this embodiment, the
 method comprises all the following steps:

Input: $a = (0, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_0)$

$b = (b_{n-1}, \dots, b_0)$

30 Output: $q = a \text{ div } b$ and $r = a \text{ mod } b$

$\sigma' \leftarrow 1 ; \beta \leftarrow 1, \gamma \leftarrow 1 ; A = (0, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_{m-n+1})$

for j = 1 to (m-n+1), do:

a <- SHL_{m+1}(a, 1) ; σ <- carry

δ' <- σ' / β

d_{addr} <- b_{addr} + δ' (c_{addr} - b_{addr})

5 d <- CPL2_n(d)

A <- ADD_n(A, b)

$\sigma' < - (\sigma' \text{ AND } \sigma') / (\sigma' \text{ AND carry}) / (\sigma' \text{ AND carry})$

$\beta < - \neg\sigma$; $\gamma < - \gamma / \delta'$; $\sigma' < - \sigma'$

10 lsb(a) = σ'

end for

if ($\neg\beta$ = TRUE) then b <- CPL2_n(b)

if ($\neg\gamma$ = TRUE) then c <- CPL2_n(c)

if ($\neg\sigma$ = TRUE) then A <- ADD_n(A, b)

15 The invention also concerns an electronic component comprising calculation means programmed to implement a method as described above, the calculation means comprising in particular a central unit associated with a memory comprising several registers for storing the data a and b.

20 Finally, the invention also concerns a chip card comprising an integrated circuit as described above.

The invention will be better understood and other characteristics and advantages will emerge from a reading of the following description of example embodiments of integer division methods according to the invention.

25 In a first example of implementation of the invention, a method secure against covert channel attacks is implemented by eliminating the test operations (of the type if ... then ... otherwise ...) of method 2 and therefore the consequences of their presence.

30 According to the invention, in method 2, the steps if ... then ... otherwise are replaced by the following three

steps :

$A \leftarrow \sigma' \text{SUB}_n(A, b) + (\neg\sigma' (\text{ADD}_n(A, b))$

$\sigma \leftarrow (\sigma \text{ AND } \sigma') / \sigma \text{ AND carry} / \sigma' \text{ AND carry}$

$\text{lsb}(a) \leftarrow \sigma$

5 In this way the following method according to the invention is obtained:

Input : $a = (0, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_0)$

$b = (b_{n-1}, \dots, b_0)$

Output: $q = a \text{ div } b$ and $r = a \text{ mod } b$

10 $A = (0, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_{m-n+1}) ; \sigma' \leftarrow 1$

For $j = 1$ to $(m-n+1)$, do:

$a \leftarrow \text{SHL}_{m+1}(a, 1) ; \sigma \leftarrow \text{carry}$

$A \leftarrow (\sigma') \text{SUB}_n(A, b) + (\neg\sigma') \text{ADD}_n(A, b)$

$\sigma \leftarrow (\sigma' \text{ AND } \sigma') / (\sigma' \text{ AND carry}) / (\sigma' \text{ AND carry})$

15 $\text{lsb}(a) \leftarrow \sigma'$

$\sigma' \leftarrow \sigma$

End for

if $(\neg\sigma = \text{TRUE})$ then $A \leftarrow \text{ADD}_n(A, b)$

Method 3

20 Method 3 is equivalent to method 2 in that it produces the same result from the same input data a and b . This is because, in method 2, when $\sigma' = 1$, the operation $A \leftarrow \text{SUB}_n(A, b)$ is performed and when $\sigma' = 0$, the operation $A \leftarrow \text{ADD}_n(A, b)$ is performed. The same applies in method 3
25 since $\sigma' = \neg(\neg\sigma')$. Moreover, in method 2, when $\sigma' = 1$, the operation $\sigma \leftarrow \sigma \text{ OR carry}$ is performed, and when $\sigma' = 0$ the operation $\sigma \leftarrow \sigma \text{ AND carry}$ is performed. This can be written in the form

$\sigma \leftarrow (\sigma') (\sigma \text{ OR carry}) + (\neg\sigma') (\sigma \text{ AND carry}),$

30 which is logically equivalent to

$\sigma \leftarrow (\sigma \text{ AND } \sigma') / (\sigma \text{ AND carry}) / (\sigma' \text{ AND carry})$

Finally, in method 2, by performing the operation $a \leftarrow \text{SHL}_{m+1}(a, 1)$, the least significant bit of a is fixed at 0 (in other words $\text{lsb}(a) = 0$) and then, at the end of the current iteration, if $\sigma = 1$, the operation $\text{lsb}(a) = 1$ is performed, otherwise, if $\sigma = 0$, $\text{lsb}(a)$ is not modified. It is therefore possible to easily replace the operation {if $\sigma = 1$, $\text{lsb}(a) + 1$ } by the operation $\text{lsb}(a) = \sigma$, whatever the value of σ .

Method 3 is not equivalent to method 2 but is also secure vis-à-vis covert channel attacks. This is because the method contains no test operation of the type if then otherwise, and the same operations are performed at each iteration, whatever the bit of the input data used and/or the result bit obtained during an iteration. It is therefore impossible, from the trace left by the component, to separate the various iterations and to determine the bits of the input data and/or of the output data.

In a second example of implementation of the invention, method 3 according to the invention is modified by limiting in addition the execution time of the method.

As seen previously, in order to perform a subtraction operation $A \leftarrow \text{SUB}_n(A, b)$, in practice an operation $\bar{b} = \text{CPL2}_n(b)$ of complement to 2^n of the number b is performed and then an addition operation of the type $A \leftarrow \text{ADD}_n(A, \bar{b})$.

Which means, for method 3, that at each iteration an operation of complement to 2^n is performed, in addition to an addition operation $A \leftarrow \text{ADD}_n(A, b)$ or $A \leftarrow \text{ADD}_n(A, \bar{b})$.

In order to reduce the execution time, the number of operations of complement to 2^n $\bar{b} \leftarrow \text{CPL2}_n(b)$ is limited, an additional memory space is used to store the value of \bar{b} at

the start of the method. It then suffices to add \bar{b} to A in order to effect $A \leftarrow \text{SUB}_n(A, b)$ or to add b to A in order to effect $A \leftarrow \text{ADD}_n(A, b)$. This also makes it possible to perform a single addition operation by iteration, so that the execution speed is increased further.

Two registers b and \bar{b} are used here in order to store respectively the data b and \bar{b} and having the address b_{addr} and \bar{b}_{addr} . The register whose content is added to the content of the register A during a given iteration is called d and its address is called d_{addr} . In practice, at each iteration, the register d is either the register containing b or the register containing \bar{b} . As in method 3, the variable σ' is used to keep a trace of what has happened during a given iteration and to determine whether an addition or a subtraction must be performed at the following iteration. By grouping together the whole, the following method 4 is finally obtained :

```

Input :  a = (0,  $a_{m-1}$ , ...,  $a_0$ )
20      b = ( $b_{n-1}$ , ...,  $b_0$ )
Output:  q = a div b and r = a mod b
A = (0,  $a_{m-1}$ , ...,  $a_{m-n+1}$ ) ;  $\sigma' \leftarrow 1$  ;  $\bar{b} \leftarrow \text{CPL}_{2N}(b)$ 
For j = 1 to (m-n+1), do:
    a <-  $\text{SHL}_{m+1}(a, 1)$  ;  $\sigma \leftarrow \text{carry}$ 
25     $d_{\text{addr}} \leftarrow b_{\text{addr}} + \sigma' (\bar{b}_{\text{addr}} - b_{\text{addr}})$ 
    A <-  $\text{ADD}_n(A, d)$ 
     $\sigma \leftarrow (\sigma' \text{ AND } \sigma') / (\sigma' \text{ AND carry}) / (\sigma' \text{ AND carry})$ 
    lsb(a) <-  $\sigma$ 
     $\sigma' \leftarrow \sigma$ 
30  End For
    if ( $\neg \sigma = \text{TRUE}$ ) then A <-  $\text{ADD}_n(A, b)$ 
```

16
Method 4

In a third example of implementation of the invention, method 4 according to the invention is modified by limiting the memory space used for implementing the
5 method.

For this purpose, the value of \bar{b} complementary to b , the result of the operation $CPL2_n(b)$, is stored in place of the initial value of b , in the same register. The subtraction operation is thus performed by replacing b with
10 its complement \bar{b} in the same register and then adding to A the content of the said register.

In addition, the calculation of unnecessary values of \bar{b} is avoided (this is the case when two successive iterations j and $j+1$ both use the same addition, either A
15 $\leftarrow A+b$ or $A \leftarrow A + \bar{b}$). For this purpose, another register c is used whose contents, indifferent or notional, is replaced by its complement to 2^n when it is not necessary to replace the content of the register initially containing b (that is to say when two successive iterations use either
20 b or \bar{b}). In practice, the register c is any register of the memory, with the same size as the register containing b , but different from the registers initially containing a or b . The register c can also be used for performing other operations. At the end of the method of the invention, the
25 register c contains its initial value, that is to say that which it had before execution of the method. The initial value of the content of the register c is completely indifferent since this value is not actually used in the context of the method according to the invention.

30 The term d_{addr} is given to the address of the register containing the value which will be replaced by its complement to 2^n during the current iteration : d_{addr} is

either b_{addr} if the content of the register initially containing b must be complemented to 2^n , or c_{addr} otherwise. The term d is given to the content of the register whose address is d_{addr} .

5 Use is also made of the variables β and γ to keep a trace of the state of the value contained in the registers located at the address b_{addr} and c_{addr} . This state is either the original value or the original value complemented to 2^n . $\beta = 1$ (or respectively $\gamma = 1$) is chosen when the value
 10 located at the address b_{addr} (or respectively c_{addr}) is the original value, and $\beta = 0$ (or respectively $\gamma = 0$) when the value located at the address b_{addr} (or respectively c_{addr}) is the complement to 2^n of the original value. The variable σ' is used to keep a trace of the value of the variable σ
 15 at the previous iteration. As before, $\sigma' = 0$ means that an unnecessary subtraction ($A \leftarrow SUB_n(A, b) = ADD_n(A, b)$) was performed at the previous iteration and that an addition operation $A \leftarrow ADD_n(A, b)$ must be performed during the current iteration in order to compensate. Conversely, $\sigma' =$
 20 1 means that no subtraction was performed wrongly during the previous iteration and that a subtraction must be performed during the current iteration.

The following truth table is obtained :

25	Previous values				Updated values	
	σ'	β	γ		β	γ
	0	0	0		1	0
	0	0	1		1	1
	0	1	0		1	1
	0	1	1		1	0
30	1	0	0		0	1
	1	0	1		0	0

$$\begin{array}{cccc|cc}
 & & & 18 & & \\
 1 & 1 & 0 & & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & 1 & 1 & & 0 & 1
 \end{array}$$

The following are derived from this :

$$\beta \leftarrow \neg \sigma'$$

$$5 \quad \gamma \leftarrow \gamma / \sigma' / \beta$$

By grouping together the whole, the following method
5 is finally obtained :

Input : $a = (0, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_0)$

$b = (b_{n-1}, \dots, b_0)$

10 Output: $q = a \text{ div } b$ and $r = a \text{ mod } b$

$\sigma' \leftarrow 1$; $\beta \leftarrow 1$, $\gamma \leftarrow 1$; $A = (0, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_{m-n+1})$

for $j = 1$ to $(m-n+1)$, do:

$a \leftarrow \text{SHL}_{m+1}(a, 1)$; $\sigma \leftarrow \text{carry}$

$\delta \leftarrow \sigma' / \beta$

15 $d_{\text{addr}} \leftarrow b_{\text{addr}} + \delta (c_{\text{addr}} - b_{\text{addr}})$

$d \leftarrow \text{CPL2}_n(d)$

$A \leftarrow \text{ADD}_n(A, b)$

$\sigma \leftarrow (\sigma \text{ AND } \sigma') / (\sigma \text{ AND } \text{carry}) / (\sigma' \text{ AND } \text{carry})$

$\beta \leftarrow \neg \sigma'$; $\gamma \leftarrow \gamma / \delta$; $\sigma' \leftarrow \sigma$

20 $\text{lsb}(a) = \sigma$

end for

if $(\neg \beta = \text{TRUE})$ then $b \leftarrow \text{CPL2}_n(b)$

if $(\neg \gamma = \text{TRUE})$ then $c \leftarrow \text{CPL2}_n(c)$

if $(\neg \sigma = \text{TRUE})$ then $A \leftarrow \text{ADD}_n(A, b)$

25 Method 5

In general terms, the essential advantage of the invention compared with the other known methods performing the same operation is that it is secure vis-à-vis covert channel attacks, and in particular attacks of the SPA type.

30 In addition, in order to be implemented, the method according to the invention requires no more resources (in

particular in terms of execution time and memory space)
than the known unprotected integer division methods.